	1
1	UNREDACTED
1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE EASTERN DIVISION
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
7	VS)NO.1:20-cr-10063-STA)JACKSON, TENNESSEE
8	JASON WAYNE AUTRY)
9	
10	
11	MOTION HEARING
12	
13	APRIL 21, 2023
14	
15	
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE S. THOMAS ANDERSON,
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18	
19	
20	
21	KRISTI HEASLEY, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
22	U.S. COURTHOUSE, SUITE 450 111 SOUTH HIGHLAND AVENUE
23	JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301
24	
25	
	UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT

APPEARANCES FOR THE UNITED STATES: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BETH BOSWELL, ESQ. WILLIAM JOSH MORROW, ESQ. 109 S. Highland Avenue Third Floor Jackson, TN 38301 FOR THE DEFENDANT: MEGGAN BESS SULLIVAN, ESQ. 424 Church Street, Suite 2000 Nashville, TN 37209 UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT

Case 1:20-cr-10063-ST	A Document 96	Filed 08/14/23	Page 3 of 24	PageID 260
				3
1	E	XAMINATION IN	IDEX	
2	NO	TESTIMONY OF	FERED	
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	UNR	EDACTED TRANS	CRIPT	
II				I

Case 1:20-cr-	10063-STA	Document 96	Filed 08/14/23	Page 4 of 24	PageID 261
					4
1			EXHIBITS		
2		NC) EXHIBITS MAI	RKED	
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
		UNR	EDACTED TRANS	SCRIPT	

(Defendant Present.)

THE COURT: This is United States versus Jason Wayne Autry, No. 21-10063.

We're here today — originally we had a sentencing scheduled for today. Counsel filed, defense counsel filed a motion to continue the sentencing hearing, and then also the Court's received a letter from

the defendant asking some questions and indicating some dissatisfaction with his current situation.

Just for reference, it looks like the letter from Mr. Autry is Docket Entry Number 76.

Ms. Sullivan, do you want to be heard? Where are we as far as you're concerned?

MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, at this point, because you set it for a motion in court today, I would like to go ahead and — and I can follow it up with a written motion. But I sort of alluded to it in my motion to continue that I was anticipating filing a motion to withdraw, or I was at least seeking the Tennessee Bar's

So for today, if the Court would indulge me, I would like to be heard on a motion to withdraw as counsel.

Ethics Attorney's opinions on what my position should be

2.4

in front of the Court.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this. Have you had a chance to meet with Mr. Autry and discuss his letter and some of the concerns he expressed in his letter, or are you not comfortable doing that?

MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, it's not as much a matter of comfort as it a matter of diminishing returns at this point.

I have not met with him about that letter. And part of the reasons is just, I just got out of a six week jury trial, and in a week I'm about to go to Georgia and try another four to five week jury trial, and I haven't gotten a chance to get over here to do that.

I will tell the Court that these discussions to varying degrees have been going on for over a year. And there have been various delays based on the discussions already, including an emphatic decision to plead guilty on Mr. Autry's part about eight or nine months ago, only to get in court and find out that, for the very first time, he's having, he was having issues related to mental health. And we had to delay that hearing, and send him to be evaluated.

So I had him, out of an abundance of caution, evaluated to protect some of the conversations that we had had then.

I will say at this point, I've consulted

2.4

with the Bar about Rule 1.16. And I think we're still in the may, and not like a mandatory withdraw, but we're in the may category.

However, if you look at the factors for those rules, given some of the things in the letter that — trying to be careful with my words, not to disclose any type of attorney-privileged information.

However, without disclosing some of the direct things that we have spoken about over the last year to year and a half, I will say that if you look at, I think, (b)(2), we're getting very close to me being part of perpetuating something that might be false on the Court during sentencing.

Especially if I consider some of the facts that are written in that letter that have already been given to the Court without my knowledge, I'm getting very close — I'm already in the (b(1), 1.16(b)(1) factor, and we're getting very close to forcing me to be part of subsection 2.

And I will say that there are many things in that letter that are absolutely false as far as my representation. And it puts me in a very difficult place at sentencing, in trying to present witnesses, et cetera, when — we discussed at length about a motion to suppress. I discussed at length with the government

about the possibility of a motion to suppress, the basis for a motion to suppress.

I have never in my career used the words, you're going to make the government mad, so we shouldn't pursue this legal remedy, or this pre-trial motion, because we're going to make the government mad.

And that was discussed well in advance of not only the first hearing to change plea, but also the second hearing to change plea. And at no point was a decision made by Mr. Autry to file a motion to suppress.

So I'm just in a very difficult position right now, because — I think the Court is in a very difficult position, quite frankly, because it appears that that letter is setting up some post-conviction relief. And to allow me — to force me to go on with a sentencing hearing, to file objections to the PSR, or to file a sentencing memorandum, when it already appears Mr. Autry is likely laying a foundation for post-conviction relief, that —

THE COURT: Well, the letter, though — if we're both looking at the same letter, he asks if he can have access to certain documents. And then he basically is talking about a breakdown in communication; which, I hear allegations of breakdown in communication probably once a week.

1 MS. SULLIVAN: Right. 2 THE COURT: So breakdown in communication, 3 at least in my opinion, is not cause for mandatory withdraw of any kind. 4 5 MS. SULLIVAN: I agree. THE COURT: Now is there another letter or 6 7 something that you're referring to that I'm overlooking? 8 MS. SULLIVAN: Well, the first page of the 9 letter is talking about, that I refused to file a 10 suppression motion. It might be on the second page. So 11 I think it's a little more than a breakdown in 12 communication, but --13 THE COURT: Well, again, I'm just -- this 14 is Docket Entry Number 76. Mr. Autry just tells me his 15 States, I have some questions. Can I have a copy 16 of my mental health evaluation? Can I get a copy of the 17 presentence report? Asked about mitigation. Possibility 18 of a mitigation specialist. Then asks, can he speak to 19 the -- what he calls the DA, but the Assistant United 20 States Attorney regarding any kind of relief that he 21 might, he thinks he might be entitled to. 22 And then he goes into -- my attorney, I 23 have reached out to her, and she hasn't responded. 24 But again, that's not an uncommon 25 complaint. I'm not saying it's true or false or in

between, but it's not an uncommon situation, especially				
when you've got someone incarcerated and you just said				
you had been tied up for the last six weeks, sounds like.				
MS. SULLIVAN: I think are you looking				
at Docket Entry 76?				
THE COURT: I am.				
MS. SULLIVAN: There is also a Docket				
Entry 73 that was a letter that was filed under seal.				
That's the one I was referring to, Your Honor.				
THE COURT: Would you print that out for				
me, Mr. Bryson?				
MR. MORROW: Your Honor, just for the				
record, the government does not have a copy of Docket No.				
76. Ms. Sullivan gave us a copies of another letter,				
which is Docket No. 73.				
MS. SULLIVAN: I don't believe I have a				
copy of 76.				
MR. MORROW: I don't think either one of				
us have a copy of No. 76.				
THE COURT: Well, it was filed under seal				
on the why would you not why would you not get a				
copy, I wonder?				
MR. MORROW: If it's filed under seal, the				
other side would have				
THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what				

you said.

MR. MORROW: I said, if it's filed under seal, the other party would have to send a copy to us.

THE COURT: But, Ms. Sullivan, I assume -- did you receive it?

MS. SULLIVAN: I know 73 was emailed to me by the Court. Ms. Smith might have emailed me 76 too. I did email 73 to the government.

THE COURT: All right. For the record, now I have a copy of Docket Entry Number 73, which also appears to be a handwritten letter signed by Mr. Autry, four page letter, it would appear. Let's see, it's dated — looks like it was filed on February 21st of this year.

MS. SULLIVAN: Yes. That's the one that I have. I don't have a copy of 76.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Bryson, if you will, print a copy of Docket Entry 76 and provide it to Ms. Sullivan.

MS. BOSWELL: Your Honor, are we allowed to have a copy of that as well?

THE COURT: Well, let's let Ms. Sullivan look at it first. I think I pretty well outlined it on the record so far, so you have a pretty good idea of what we're dealing with.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's let Ms. Sullivan take a look at it and then -- I would think -- again, I think I've outlined it on the record already. It would appear to the Court that -- well, Docket Entry Number 73 is much longer and much more detailed as far as some of Mr. Autry's concerns. Did you say you had 73? MR. MORROW: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's take these together. So, Ms. Sullivan, let me start all over as far as asking you. So based on the contents of Docket Entry 73 and Entry 76, do you believe that there is mandatory withdraw, or just permissive? MS. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I really think we're in the permissible withdraw. It's getting very close to the mandatory; however, I think we're still in the permissible. However, as an ancillary issue for the Court to consider, along with mandatory or permissible

However, as an ancillary issue for the Court to consider, along with mandatory or permissible withdraw, we have what appears to be in Docket Entry 73 some foundation for potential post-conviction relief issues.

And while that's also not foreign to the

Court, or to defense lawyers, it does put me in a difficult position where we are right now. One of the factors is whether it would -- I'm going to mess up the words -- but basically, put the defendant in a position where he might be harmed.

And at this point, the PSR has been done. There is still potential for him to have a CJA lawyer appointed to him who can do all the mitigation work, at least up until the judgment is final. And so then the only post-conviction relief issues, as far as it pertains to me, would be the negotiations leading up to sentencing.

However, if I'm reading that letter right, based on my experience, there seems to be some foundation being laid for post-conviction relief. And if that's the case, me filing additional pleadings with the Court potentially opens the door for even more post-conviction issues down the road.

So I don't know whether it's prudent or expeditious at this point to continue and allow those issue to keep going, or — and also some of the things in that letter, in my opinion, are false.

And so it is putting me in a difficult position down the road to know what things I can present to the Court outside of a motion hearing, where it may

1	L

have more ramifications than just speaking candidly to 1 2 the Court in a motion to withdraw. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Are you Jason Wayne Autry? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Autry, pull 7 that -- move close to one of those microphones, if you 8 can. 9 You sent me a couple of letters. 10 Is that correct? 11 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, sir. 12 THE COURT: All right. And you heard us 13 refer to those this morning as Docket Entry No. 73 and 14 76. 15 Do you know what I'm referring to? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: Okay. First, Mr. Autry, let 18 me caution you. Don't say anything about the facts of 19 your case. Okay? 20 Now we're at a point where you've already 21 entered a plea of guilty in your case. There has been an 22 evaluation, as you know. And we're ready for sentencing. 23 But I still don't want you to say anything that the 24 government could use against you in any way. 25 Do you understand?

7	

1	THE DEFENDANT: (Witness nods.)
2	THE COURT: Answer out loud.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Don't say anything
5	about the facts of your case. We're strictly this
6	morning, all I'm trying to decide is whether it's
7	appropriate to allow Ms. Sullivan to withdraw and appoint
8	you a new attorney. Okay?
9	So in the most recent letter that you sent
10	it looks like it's dated March 20th, which roughly a
11	month ago.
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: You asked some questions.
14	Do you agree?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. About
16	THE COURT: Some document that you would
17	like to have access to. And I'm assuming since you're
18	asking for those, that
19	THE DEFENDANT: Well, one of them
20	THE COURT: Wait. Let me finish.
21	Either you haven't received them, or as
22	far as you know you haven't received them.
23	Is that right?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Well, one of them came
25	while I was in the booth there.

1	THE COURT: And then you go on to say that			
2	you have made repeated attempts to contact Ms. Sullivan			
3	and she hasn't responded.			
4	Is that true?			
5	THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.			
6	THE COURT: All right. You heard what Ms.			
7	Sullivan had to say this morning. Correct?			
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.			
9	THE COURT: Did you understand what she			
10	said?			
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.			
12	THE COURT: And basically, she is telling			
13	the Court that she's not sure that she can continue to			
14	represent you			
15	THE DEFENDANT: I feel equal, the same			
16	thing she feels.			
17	THE COURT: You agree with what she said?			
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I think that, I			
19	think that the ends and outs of it are just too far to			
20	reconcile on it. You now what I mean? Reconciliation			
21	is I don't much see that.			
22	THE COURT: Well, but you retained Ms.			
23	Sullivan. Right?			
24	THE DEFENDANT: I did, yeah.			
25	THE COURT: And she has represented you			

1	-	-
		1

now for I think I looked, and your case is over two			
years old.			
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.			
THE COURT: And so she's been your			
attorney now for over two years. Correct?			
THE DEFENDANT: We started out good, but			
we made bad turns, I guess.			
THE COURT: Well, that happens sometimes.			
Sometimes the attorneys and their clients don't always			
see eye to eye. That's part of the process.			
But what I've got to decide is whether			
it's appropriate under all the circumstances to allow Ms.			
Sullivan to withdraw. And then I would either you			
would have to retain another new attorney. Or if you			
can't afford one, then I would, either I would or another			
Judge would appoint someone to represent you.			
Do you understand that?			
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.			
THE COURT: Is that how you want to			
proceed?			
THE DEFENDANT: I mean, that sounds good			
to me.			
THE COURT: Are you going to			
financially, are you going to be in a position to retain			
a new attorney?			

THE DEFENDANT: I doubt it.		
THE COURT: Well, that's something that		
would have to be decided. You would have to fill out		
what's called a financial affidavit. And then either I		
would or another Judge, probably be another Judge, would		
take a look at it and decide, based on your current		
financial situation, whether or not the Judge believes		
that you were financially able to retain another		
attorney.		
Do you understand?		
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.		
THE COURT: If he or she decided that you		
could, then that would be what you would have to do. If		
you have enough financial resources or assets to retain		
another attorney, then that's what you would have to do.		
If you do not have enough resources, then		
the Court can appoint someone to represent you.		
Do you understand?		
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.		
THE COURT: But also under either		
scenario, it's probably going to move your case and by		
move your case, I mean move sentencing back for several		
months.		
Do you understand?		
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.		

THE COURT: Well, I mean, a new attorney
is going to have to have enough time, whether they're
retained or appointed, to get up to speed in your case,
and understand everything, and probably have discussions
with the government about issues that might arise during
your sentencing.
Do you understand?
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. Yeah, I understand.
THE COURT: Is that still what you want to
do?
THE DEFENDANT: Well, it would be nice if
a man could just come together with the figure right here
today and settle it all today.
THE COURT: That's not going to happen.
THE DEFENDANT: That's not going to
happen.
THE COURT: That's not how we do it. No,
sir.
THE DEFENDANT: Well
THE COURT: Mr. Autry, you don't want it
done that way anyway. You want to be sure that whenever
I sentence you, that I've considered everything I need to
consider, and that you're sentenced fairly.
That's what you want. Right?
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I guess so.

THE COURT: Well, I know so.
And so to say let's just go ahead and do
it while we're all sitting here, that's just not the way
we're going to handle things. We want to be sure we do
it the right way, and that whatever sentence you receive
is based on all the information that I have and I make
what I hope is the right decision. Okay?
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
THE COURT: All right. Was there anything
else you want to add?
THE DEFENDANT: No. No.
THE COURT: Any questions you have?
THE DEFENDANT: No. I ain't got no
questions. I'm thankful for what she done.
THE COURT: Do you bottom line, you
think the relationship, the attorney-client relationship
between and you and Ms. Sullivan has broken down.
Is that correct?
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. Yeah.
THE COURT: And you, rightly or wrongly,
you don't have confidence in her continued representation
of you.
THE DEFENDANT: No. Uh-uh (negative
response).
THE COURT: Ms. Sullivan, you're telling

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

me you, based on everything in your experience and your knowledge, you think the relationship has deteriorated to a point that it would be in Mr. Autry's best interest to have new counsel either appointed or retained? MS. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Government have any anything to say? MS. BOSWELL: No, sir, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, I think in light of what Ms. Sullivan said this morning -- obviously, it's a little more concerning when we have retained counsel, just because -- and especially counsel that has been involved in the case for this extended period of time. But based on the contents of the letters that we've referred to previously, statements made by Mr. Autry, the statements made by Ms. Sullivan, I'm going to grant her verbal or oral motion to withdraw. And then I'm going to refer the matter to the Magistrate Judge to determine if Mr. Autry has the ability to retain counsel. Or if not, to have counsel appointed to represent him for sentencing -- I'll just say going forward. I don't know what else might arise between now and when we come back. Do you understand, Mr. Autry? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Is there anything else you

1	want to tell me? If there is, now is your time.
2	THE DEFENDANT: No. No. I ain't got
3	nothing else to say.
4	THE COURT: All right. Then that's what
5	we'll do. And so we'll refer this down do you have
6	any idea Judge York is not here today, I don't think.
7	THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
8	MR. MORROW: He is here today.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm just I
10	thought maybe he was out.
11	We might see if I don't know, since
12	Mr. Autry is here, just to try to move the process along,
13	we might see if Judge York would have time for Mr. Autry
14	to complete a financial affidavit and then make a
15	determination on whether he's in a position to retain
16	counsel or whether we need to appoint counsel to
17	represent him.
18	Maurice, if you will, reach out to Judge
19	York's courtroom deputy and see where they are.
20	THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Sullivan?
22	MS. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Autry?
24	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
25	THE COURT: Anything from the government?

	23
1	MS. BOSWELL: No, sir.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
4	(End of Proceedings.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	